

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/584,449	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

**All Participants:**

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Ms. Kay Chang.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 September 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

1, 15-18 and 20-23

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Chang was contacted with an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance on 9/24/09. Ms. Chang agreed to the proposed amendments on 10/1/09.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)